UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,498	01/12/2004	Kazuya Oda	0378-0404P	8273
	7590 07/10/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/4 22040 0747	QUIETT, CARRAMAH J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/754,498	ODA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI		MONTH(S) FROM					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	nunication. 80) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>06 March 2008.</i>						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition							
closed in accordance with the practi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-16</u> is/are pe	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-2, 4-9 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2</u>	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
-	documents have been received in A	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage					
application from the Internatio	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certified copies not	t received.					
Attachment(s)	□	O (DTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendment(s), filed on 03/06/2008, have been entered and made of record. Claims 1-2, 4-9 and 11-16 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-9 and 11-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. (U.S.#6,750,437) in view of Perregaux et al. (U.S. Pat. #5,119,181), and Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,831,687).

As for **claim 1**, Yamashita teaches a method of controlling a solid-state image pickup apparatus (first embodiment, figs. 2-3 and 7), comprising:

a preparing step of preparing a solid-state image pickup apparatus configured to process and output an image signal output from a solid-state image sensor that converts an optical image representative of a field and focused on said solid-state image sensor by a lens to the image signal (col. 7, lines 4-6 and 49 – col. 8, line 11), said solid-state image sensor including a plurality of composite pixels (fig. 2, refs. 309) which are arranged in a photosensitive array (col. 3, lines 38-50) and each of which includes of a main photosensitive cell (figs. 2/3, ref. 301),

Page 3

having a first area, (col. 4, lines 41-50) and an auxiliary photosensitive cell (figs. 2/3, ref. 302) of a same color (inherently) as the main photosensitive cell (col. 3, lines 51-58; col. 4, lines 4-11), the auxiliary photosensitive cell, having a second area (col. 4, lines 57-62) [the auxiliary photosensitive cell inherently has the same color as the main photosensitive cell because Yamashita teaches that *a color filter* is provided for each of the pixels and a pixel corresponds to a point indicating *a color*. Please read col. 2, lines 51-58 and col. 4, lines 4-11], and respectively formed by main photosensitive portion and an auxiliary photosensitive portion (col. 3, lines 38-50; col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11),

Yamashita also discloses a plurality of microlenses (fig. 3) respectively positioned in said plurality of composite pixels focusing incident light (col. 3, lines 51-58), and only a single color component filter segment positioned in each of said plurality composite pixels (col. 3, lines 51-58), a plurality of color component filter segments being provided in a preselected (indicating) color component filter pattern (col. 4, lines 4-11);

- a photometry step of executing photometry with the field (col. 7, lines 49-52);
- a signal processing step of processing the image signal and (col. 8, lines 2-11);
- a control step of switching signal processing of said signal processing step in accordance with a result of photometry executed said photometry step (col. 7, line 49 col. 8, line 11);

wherein said control step includes estimating influence of shading on the image signals from the relatively high photosensitive cell and the relatively low photosensitive cell (col. 12, line 60 - col. 13, line 2), and

Yamashita does not expressly disclose the auxiliary photosensitive cell having a second area smaller than the first area and a sensitivity lower than the main photosensitive cell,

estimating influence of shading on the image signals based on a detected zoom position, and wherein, in the signal processing step, color difference gain processing for the image signal being switched in accordance with the detected zoom position to thereby lower a chroma of the image signal.

In a similar field of endeavor, Perregaux discloses a solid-state image sensor (fig. 9) including a plurality of composite pixels (ref. 66) which are arranged in a photosensitive array and each of which includes a main photosensitive cell (ref. 66), inherently having a first area, and an auxiliary photosensitive cell (ref. 67), having a second area smaller than the first area and a sensitivity lower than the main photosensitive cell (col. 5, lines 22-32). In light of the teachings of Perregaux, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a main photosensitive cell, having a first area, and an auxiliary photosensitive cell, having a second area smaller than the first area in the method of Yamashita in order to adjust the ratio of the photosensitive areas thereby compensating for differences in spectral sensitivity (Perregaux, col. 5, lines 22-32).

In a similar field of endeavor, Suzuki discloses a solid-state image pickup apparatus wherein said control step includes estimating influence of shading (reduction of received light intensity) on the image signals based on a detected zoom position, and wherein, in the signal processing step, color difference gain processing for the image signal being switched in accordance with the detected zoom position to thereby lower a chroma of the image signal. Please read col. 6, line 35 – col. 8, line 67. In light of the teachings of Suzuki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solid-state image pickup apparatus of the solid-state image pickup apparatus as recited in claim

Art Unit: 2622

1. This modification suppresses the phenomena of color loss and color fog thereby providing high picture quality (Suzuki, col. 1, line 46 -- col. 2, line 8).

For **claim 2**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, teaches the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein a control step variably controls the signal processing for the image signal in accordance with a focal distance of the lens. Please read Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11 and Suzuki, col. 6, line 35 – col. 8, line 67.

For **claim 4**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said signal-processing step that further includes tone correction processing for the image signal switched in accordance with the control of the control step (Suzuki, col. 7, lines 21-35). Also please read Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11.

For **claim 5**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, discloses the method in accordance with claim 4, wherein said signal-processing step a gamma table to use is switched in accordance with the control of the control step (Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11; Suzuki, col. 6, lines 1-7).

Claims 8-9 and 11-12 are apparatus claim corresponding to the method claims 1-2 and 4-5. Therefore, claims 8-9 and 11-12 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-5.

For **claim 15**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the main photosensitive cell has a region provided obliquely with regard to a horizontal direction, and the auxiliary photosensitive cell is provided in a space defined by the region (Perregaux; fig. 9; col. 5, lines 1-32).

Art Unit: 2622

Claim 16 is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim 15. Therefore, claim 16 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 15.

5. Claims 6-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. (U.S.#6,750,437) in view of Perregaux et al. (U.S. Pat. #5,119,181) and Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,831,687) as applied to claims 1 and 8, respectively above, and further in view of Ng et al. (U.S.#5,699,102).

For **claim 6**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, teaches the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said control step determines photometry (Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11; Suzuki, col. 12, lines 29-34). However, Yamashita nor Suzuki and Perregaux do not expressly teach a method wherein said control step determines the shading on the basis of the result of photometry and switches the processing of said signal processing step in accordance with a result of determination.

In a similar field of endeavor, Ng (as illustrated in figure 1 and 2) discloses an imaging device with a controller that compensates the shading on the basis of the photometry result along with a gain/filter corrector (col. 2, lines 47-67; col. 3, lines 1-11). In light of the teaching of Ng, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, to include the control step of Ng in order to standardize the image signals and thus improve the quality of the image (col. 2, lines 61-67).

For **claim 7**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux, Suzuki, and Ng, teaches wherein said photometry step that executes (Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11) divisional photometry with the field on the basis of the image signal output from the image sensor, and wherein said

Art Unit: 2622

control step determines shading on the basis of a result of said divisional photometry. In the *Ng* patent, please see figure 2 and read col. 2, lines 61-67. Including the photometry step standardizes the image signals and thus improves the quality of the image.

Claims 13-14 are apparatus claims corresponding to the method claims 6-7. Therefore, claims 13-14 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 6-7.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carramah J. Quiett whose telephone number is (571)272-7316. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carramah J. Quiett/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622 July 1, 2008

> /Ngoc-Yen T. VU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622